Abstract— Disguising the presence of communication has become a severe concern in this highly
digitalized world due to the unauthorized data access and network policy violations that are emerging
rapidly. These issues have led to the application of cryptography technique as a mean for securing data by
encrypting them. However, since the encrypted data can be seen by sophisticated intruders during the
transmission, this may lead to its suspicion which can results in unauthorized access. Thereby,
steganography is another technique for securing communication. Steganography is the practice of
concealing confidential information in the codes that make up digital files. Different from encryption,
however, steganography provides security by disguising the presence of communication. In this context, this
paper presents an improved information hiding implemented based on difference expansion and modulus
function. The previous method has only considered the image smooth areas where the difference value is 0
or 1 while ignoring other values for hiding data. These limitations may result in decreasing the embedding
capacity for all images having few smooth areas. Hence, a new scheme that considers both positives and
negative difference values to conceal secret data is developed. The experimental results prove that the
proposed scheme achieves better results than the existing methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In security systems, information hiding is a broad discipline that encompasses a comprehensive range of
several research areas. The word “hiding” refers to safeguarding confidential information through unknown
communication [1]. Cryptography and steganography are one of the research fields in information security
that had been around for several years. However, even though both technologies aim at protecting
confidential data, they do possess different concepts. Cryptography involves protecting communication by
encrypting data before being sent or shared without hiding the communication existence, i.e., the third party
(intruders or unauthorized party) can see the encrypted data while being transmitted to the destination which
may lead to its suspicion and interception. In contrast to the cryptography, steganography is the practice of
hiding information in the codes that make up digital files such as audio, text, image or video while
preventing unwanted sources from discovering the communication presence. That is, data transmission is
kept confidential between the intended communicating parties. This ensures that the data protection is well
maintained which is a necessity in any types of communication.

A well designed steganographic system is made up of three principal parts namely, transmitter,
communication channel and the recipient. The transmitter is the party that conveys confidential data and the
recipient is the party that the data are intended to while the channel assists in conveying data. In digital
image steganography, confidential data can be concealed in the appropriate cover image. The output is the
stego image which can be directly transferred across an unsecured public network (Fig. 1 is provided to
illustrate this concept).
Besides, due to the high degree of redundancy encountered in digital image, several steganographic methods that hides data in digital images have been already presented in the literature[2]. A method where pixels for hiding secret data are selected randomly was suggested in [3] and the hybrid fuzzy neural networks was used to perform the post processing of the stego media. Cheddad et al. [4] implemented an adaptive method that embeds data in regions of interests of the cover image. In 2017, Han et al. introduced a new model aiming to incorporate steganography into cybersecurity [5]. The visual quality of the stego image was enhanced in the scheme that uses 2-bit identical technique and optimal pixel adjustment procedure presented by Kaur and Goel [6]. Before concealing the secret data, the cover image was split up into blocks and the data to be concealed were segmented into segments. Their technique has greatly improved the perceptibility since data were hidden into blocks with less effect on the visual quality of the stego image.

In further research, the embedding positions have to be selected based on smooth and edge areas before concealing data [7]. To maintain the security of data, secret sharing and steganography were applied to implement the approach that embeds data into images [8]. Discrete wavelet transform (DCT) was employed to build a blind steganographic method suggested by Bugár et al. [9]. Being blind means that the original
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**Figure 1.** Reversible digital image steganography
cover image is not required to extract the hidden data which makes the data transmission undetectable by any unauthorized parties. Furthermore, to enhance the visual quality the Huffman code was used to encode the message. By employing integer transform, an adaptive reversible information hiding was provided by Peng et al. [10]. The median was utilized as the base point by Yaqub and Al-jaber to enhance the DE-based vector [11]. In the reversible data hiding method (RDH) model presented by Zhang et al. [12], the histogram shifting technique was applied to shift the predicted error encrypted histogram. In the RDH models based on digital image the same original cover image and the embedded data can be thoroughly retrieved after the extraction phase. Additionally, the RDH methods are recognized among the best method applied in the situation like satellite and medical images to provide authentication, user privacy, content and copyright protection [13]. The large smooth areas are one of the well-known characteristics of medical images. This property permits secret data to be concealed with less distortion of the stego image [14]. That is, the stego media cannot be easily suspected by malicious users. This paper intends to suggest a new difference expansion (DE) and modulus function-based data hiding scheme that improves the embedding capacity. In addition, the modulus function is employed during the extraction phase to recover the hidden confidential data. The main goal is to increase the embedding capacity while still maintaining a reasonable quality of the stego image. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the proposed scheme is implemented in the spatial domain.

The following sections will be presented in the next parts of this paper. First of all, the literature study is provided in section II thereafter the proposed method is elucidated in section III while section IV gives the results and discussion. To wrap up the paper, the conclusion is given in section V.

II. CURRENT TRENDS IN DIGITAL IMAGE-BASED DATA HIDING METHODS

Due to various technologies incorporated in digital image steganography in the recent years, there is a great achievement in terms of performance, i.e., intelligent algorithms allowing to secure secret information have emerged. Based on the application domain, these algorithms can be broadly categorized into spatial domain and transform (frequency) domain techniques. Concealing confidential data in the spatial domain is carried out by directly manipulating the pixel values of the cover image in order to achieve the desired improvement [13]. More importantly, techniques such as least significant bit (LSB)[15][16][17], difference expansion [18][19], histogram shifting [20][21], pixel value modification (PVD)[22] and integer transform [10][23] are used in the spatial domain. These approaches are mostly employed when a direct alteration of specific or all image pixel values is required. Moreover, they achieve a high embedding capacity but they are sometimes prone to low quality of the stego image. Difference expansion has become among the most famous spatial domain algorithms. It enables secret data to be concealed by extending the difference values calculated between pixels.

In 2016, Arham et al. [14] presented a reversible data hiding method that hides data in medical images while maintaining both quality of the stego image and the payload capacity. To conceal data in binary encrypted images, the binary block embedding (BBE) was employed. Moreover, a new security key design approach was introduced to make the proposed algorithm to be able to resist to the data loss, brute-force, noise, differential and attacks. This scheme is completely reversible and it allows the embedded secret data to be restored independently without any loss [24]. A new secret-key sharing approach aiming to secure confidential data was proposed in [25]. Secret message was hidden in the low-bits of RGB image [26]. An adaptive algorithm that utilizes the partition scheme to determine the secret data bits that can be disguised in each pixel of the carrier image without causing substantial degradation was presented in [27]. Nouf and Gutub ‘s data hiding scheme allows the user to easily choose the best suitable cover image for embedding data based on the desired security priorities [28]. To improve the performance of DE-based method, Al-Qershi and Khoo [29] had implemented two schemes by combining the existing ones. The first approach was developed by combining Tian’s approach [30] with the one in [31], while for the second approach, Alattar’s and Chiang’s et al. approaches [32][31] were combined together. The experimental results demonstrate that both schemes achieve high embedding capacity and good visual quality especially for
medical images. By applying histogram modification, a reversible information hiding approach was developed by Tsai et al. [33]. The histogram was constructed using values generated by calculating the difference between neighboring pixels, thereafter it was used to locate all pixels that are at the peak in order to be used for hiding secret data. Besides, this approach is highly applicable for grayscale images. Confidential data were embedded in audio and video files [34][35]. The payload capacity was increased by concealing the secret data into two-layers using a security system implemented by combining AES cryptography and image steganography [36]. In 2015, Li et.al. [37] had used the cross division and additive homomorphism to develop a new reversible data hiding scheme for encrypted image to enhance the privacy protection in multimedia applications. The homomorphic technique was adopted in their work due to the fact that it allows computation with encrypted data without causing their expansion which in turn improves the embedding capacity. To perform the embedding, the encryption key was utilized to encrypt the cover image, after that the data embedding key was applied to conceal data into the encrypted cover image. Besides, to make this scheme reversible, histogram shifting was also adopted. The extraction does not depend on the image decryption at the receiver side, i.e., the extraction of the embedded data can be performed before or after decrypting the encrypted image.

Chen et al. [21] presented a method based on contrast enhancement, pixel value ordering and histogram shifting. An approach that categorizes pixels into different regions, i.e., smooth and complex regions so as to identify pixels that can hold the secret bits with less distortion of the stego image was suggested by Nguyen et al. [38] and the extracted cover image and secret data are identical to those before the embedding process. Moreover, the performance of this approach was evaluated using encrypted images. Having the aim to increase both payload capacity and quality of the stego image, the DE and IRDE approaches presented in [32][39] were joined to build a reversible multilayer scheme in [18]. The Zhang and Wang’s EMD [40] method gives more considerable cases which allows data to be easily embedded regardless of the ratio of the size of cover signal and the embedding capacity. Since the modification direction (MD) was completely exploited, high capacity was achieved. Xiao et al. [41] proposed a new separable RDH for encrypted images that combined the homomorphism encryption and the pixel value ordering (PVO) techniques. Two keys (data hiding key and encryption key) were used. The data hiding key is used to recover the hidden additional data while the encrypted image is recovered using the encryption key which implies that to be able to recover all data, both keys have to be safely transmitted to the receiver. Employing the least significant bit (LSB) technique and the pixel indicator, Gutub et al. built a steganographic model that disguise sensitive data in RGB images[42]. Secret data were embedded in the reduced difference values [43][44].

Hong et al. [45] developed an improved reversible DH Scheme by investigating each block’s smoothness and employing the side-matching techniques to reduce the extracted-bits error rate. Their results reveal that the error rate was significantly decreased for all size of the pixel blocks. The LSB-matching approach that uses seven rules to disguise the modification of pixels was presented by Lu et al. [46] in 2015. Their approach employs the technique of dual image to embed confidential data. Dual image is one of the current reversible data hiding techniques which conceals data by creating two identical copies of the same original carrier image to be used for concealing data in order to increase the payload capacity. Their method proved this concept by yielding a high payload capacity while maintaining the quality of the stego image. The advances on the reversible data hiding (RDH) algorithms combined with applications domains were presented by Shi et al. [47]. Inspired by the concept of difference expansion, Abdullah and Manaf [48] introduced a multilevel data hiding method based on DE. Horizontal and vertical scanning were performed to partition the cover image into non-overlapping blocks of size $2 \times 1$, after that the difference between pixels was calculated in each block and the embedding was performed based on some defined criteria. Firstly, the lookup table (LT) was used to record the position of all embedding pixel pairs. The LT is very useful during the embedding and data extraction. Secondly, all difference values were sorted to identify the smooth areas or blocks, i.e., any block where the difference is 0 or 1 was recorded as image smooth area and was utilized for embedding the secret data. Moreover, data were hidden in several layers so as to increase
the capacity. However, even though a multilayer technique was applied, their method limits the embedding capacity since only two values are considered for embedding data. This limitation can be easily noticed in images with no smooth areas, which can greatly affect the embedding capacity.

Nevertheless, in the transform domain, the cover image is first converted from spatial to transform domain and the regions of the cover image that are less susceptible to image processing operations such as compression or cropping are considered for concealing confidential information which results in high quality of the stego image [49][50]. It should be noted that the modification is performed on the orthogonal transform of the image instated of the cover image itself. Fig. 2 presents some of the main techniques employed in the transform domain approach and the general block diagram illustrating the application of information hiding in the transform domain is provided in Fig. 3. JPEG image format is highly used in this domain. Techniques such as discrete wavelet transform and discrete cosine transform are less prone to malicious attacks (suspicion) particularly when the payload capacity is small, i.e., since only some coefficients in the transform domain are altered, the degradation of the image is not easily noticed. Usually, transform domain based method achieves a low embedding capacity compared to the spatial domain based methods [51], however, their visual quality is relatively high.

To address the forgery encountered in medical images, Chiang et al. [31] proposed two detection and restoration security system. The secret data were hidden in smooth blocks after dividing the image into blocks of size $4 \times 4$. Thereafter, the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) was applied to determine the smooth areas in the cover image. Additionally, the block was classified as smooth if all difference values are equals to zero and their scheme works well for medical images having smooth areas. The recovery of the secret data and the cover image do not require the embedding map. The disadvantage of this approach is that it does not perform well for medical images lacking smooth areas. Further details on the models based on the transform domain can be found in [52][53][54][55].

III. PROPOSED DE-BASED SCHEME

New information hiding methods are always needed to remove limitations or drawbacks encountered in the previous ones in order to increase their performance. In this direction, we propose a new data hiding method that is developed based on difference expansion (DE). It allows secret data to be hidden in both positives and negatives difference calculated between pixels, which is different from Abdullah and Manaf’s method [48] that hides data in smooth areas, i.e. secret data were only hidden in values where the obtained difference is 1 or 0. Furthermore, their method has only considered positive values which may have a huge impact on the embedding capacity due to many values which are not utilized for embedding data. It can be inferred that such consideration can lead to a significant reduction of payload capacity for images where the presence of smooth areas is rare. Hence, the main goal of this method is to increase the embedding capacity.
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while maintaining a good PSNR. In this way, two ranges that controls the embedding process are provided in order to ameliorate the performance. For the first range, we consider positive values which are between 0 and 2 ($0 \leq d \leq 2$) while for the second range negatives values between -1 and -2 ($-1 \leq d \leq -2$) are considered. Note that $d$ is used to denote the difference value.

To preserve the quality of the stego, the secret data are not concealed on the values which are out of both ranges. Some of the expressions for embedding, those are (1), (2) and (3) are taken from the previous algorithm [48]. However, the extraction algorithms are totally different. Moreover, the modulus function is integrated in the proposed extraction algorithm to make the extraction process straightforward, i.e., it does remove complexity in recovering the concealed data. To demonstrate the functionality of this proposed scheme, the necessary steps required to conceal and extract the secret data are presented below. Moreover, Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, are also provided to illustrate the design and functionality of the proposed scheme.

### A. Concealing the secret data

Similar to the other data hiding schemes, the embedding algorithm is one of the essential parts of this proposed method. Therein, the entire embedding process is accomplished throughout the following steps.

1. Segment the cover image into blocks of size $2 \times 1$

2. Calculate the difference between pixels in each block and store all values into an array ($d_{arr}$) using equation (1) where $z$ and $y$ denote the pair of pixel in each block while $d$ represents the difference being computed.

   $$d = z - y$$

3. Iterate through the array to get all values which satisfy the embedding conditions, i.e., identify all values that satisfy the first ($0 \leq d \leq 2$) and the second ($-1 \geq d \geq -2$) conditions (where these two conditions can be also simplified as $-2 \leq d \leq 2$), and disregard any difference value which is out of the range.

4. Utilize the tracing table (TRT), i.e., assign value in the TRT variable to distinguish all pairs as follows. If the first and second conditions are met, the bit 0 is assigned to the value of TRT variable whereas the bit 1 is used to identify those pairs which are unchanged (pairs having difference values which are out the range).

5. Get the secret message and store it in a text file.
6. Based on the values obtained in the third step, hide the secret data by utilizing (2) to get the modified difference $(d')$, where $b$ represents the secret bit to be hidden which can be zero or one, $b \to \{0, 1\}$. 

\[
d' = d + b
\]  

(2)

7. Compute new pixel $(z')$ having the secret bits using (3). Note that the new pixels are further used to construct the stego image.

\[
z' = d' + z
\]  

(3)

As per the defined conditions for embedding data, below we present different scenarios that demonstrate how the secret data are concealed in the cover image.

1) **Scenario 1**: Difference $d \to 0$ and $b = 1$

Having a pair of pixels $z = 180$, $y = 180$ and the secret bits $b \to \{0, 1\}$, the difference is first computed using (1) as shown in (4).

\[
d = 180 - 180 = 0, \text{ and } TRT = 0
\]  

(4)
The TRT value takes the bit zero (0) since the difference falls in the range. Notice that the TRT will be used later for extracting the hidden secret bits. After getting the difference, data can be hidden using (2) and the new pixel is computed using (3) whose computations can be seen in (5) and (6).

\[ d' = 0 + 1 = 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

**Algorithm 1: Embedding steps**

**Notations:**
- Notation 1: Cover Image → CI
- Notation 2: Secret Data → b
- Notation 3: Stego Image → STI
- Notation 4: Original Pair of Pixel → P
- Notation 5: Difference Between Pair of Pixel → d
- Notation 6: Modified Difference → d'
- Notation 7: New Pixel → z'
- Notation 8: Tracing Table → TRT

**Inputs:** Cover image and secret data

**Output:** Stego image and the TRT

1: Start
2: Load the cover image
3: Load the secret data
4: Segment CI into blocks of size 2 × 1
5: Compute the difference between P in each block by \[ d = z - y \]
6: Store the difference (d) values into array (d_arr)
7: Check \[ d \_ arr \] values to identify embeddable pairs (also known as smooth pairs) by executing steps in 8, 9 and 10
8: If \( 0 \leq d \leq 2 \), embed the secret data by applying the expression below and assign 0 to the TRT variable (TRT value → 0) for each embeddable pair
   \[ d' = d + b \]
9: Else if \( -1 \geq d \geq -2 \), embed the secret data by applying the expression below and also assign 0 the TRT value (TRT value → 0) for each embeddable pair
   \[ d' = d + b \]
10: Else
    Keep p unchanged and assign 1 to TRT, (TRT value → 1)
    \[ z' = z \text{ and } y' = y \]
End If
11: Access the array storing \( d' \) values and compute the new pixel by \( z' = z + d' \)
12: Build the STI
13: End the script

Figure 5. Algorithm for concealing secret data
2) **Scenario 2: Difference** \( d \rightarrow 1 \) and \( b = 1 \) with \( z = 181 \) and \( y = 180 \) (For the next scenarios the same procedures in (4), (5) and (6) are applied).

\[
\begin{align*}
    d &= z - y = 181 - 180 = 1, \text{ and } TRT = 0 \\
    d' &= 1 + 1 = 2 \\
    z' &= 181 + 2 = 183
\end{align*}
\]  

3) **Scenario 3: Difference** \( d \rightarrow 2 \) and \( b = 1 \) with \( z = 182 \) and \( y = 180 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
    d &= z - y = 182 - 180 = 2, \text{ and } TRT = 0 \\
    d' &= 2 + 1 = 3 \\
    z' &= 182 + 3 = 185
\end{align*}
\]

4) **Scenario 4: Difference** \( d \rightarrow -1 \) and \( b = 1 \) with \( z = 180 \) and \( y = 181 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    d &= z - y = 180 - 181 = -1, \text{ and } TRT = 0 \\
    d' &= -1 + 1 = 0 \\
    z' &= 180 + 0 = 180
\end{align*}
\]

5) **Scenario 5: Difference** \( d \rightarrow -2 \) and \( b = 0 \) with \( z = 180 \) and \( y = 182 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    d &= z - y = 180 - 182 = -2, \text{ and } TRT = 0 \\
    d' &= -2 + 0 = -2 \\
    z' &= 180 - 2 = 178
\end{align*}
\]

6) **Scenario 6: Difference** \( d \rightarrow -2 \) and \( b = 1 \) with \( z = 102 \) and \( y = 104 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    d &= z - y = 102 - 104 = -2, \text{ and } TRT = 0 \\
    d' &= -2 + 1 = -1 \\
    z' &= 102 - 1 = 101
\end{align*}
\]

For scenarios 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, the TRT is also taking the value of 0 since the difference values fall in the proposed range. After hiding data, the new pixel pairs become, \(1^{st}\) pair \((z = 180, y = 180) \rightarrow (z' = 181, y' = 180), \ 2^{nd}\) pair \((z = 181, y = 180) \rightarrow (z' = 183, y' = 180), \ 3^{rd}\) pair \((z = 182, y = 180) \rightarrow (z' = 185, y' = 180), \ 4^{th}\) pair \((z = 180, y = 181) \rightarrow (z' = 180, y' = 181), \ 5^{th}\) pair \((z = 180, y = 182) \rightarrow (z' = 178, y' = 182)\) and \(6^{th}\) pair \((z = 102, y = 104) \rightarrow (z' = 101, y' = 104)\) with the secret bits \(b \rightarrow \{111101\}\). Additionally, to keep pixels in the gray level range the new pixel value must be between 0 and 255 (new pixel \(0 \leq {\text{new pixel}} \leq 255\)). This allows the hidden data and the cover image to be recovered without any distortions. The tracing table and the stego image are transmitted separately as concatenating them may decrease the quality of the stego image which can lead to its suspicion and unauthorized data access. Therein, they are kept separate.

**B. Recovering the concealed secret data**

The extraction of the hidden data is performed using the tracing table defined during the embedding process. Similar to the embedding, the stego image is first segmented into blocks of the same size (2 by 1), thereafter the difference between each pixel’s pair is computed using the equation in (22). Once all differences values have been obtained, the hidden secret data are extracted using the modulus function and the TRT (23). Besides, since the cover image has to be reconstructed, the first part of the expression in (24) is given to recover the original pixel’s value when the TRT value is 0 otherwise as it is shown in the second part of (24), the original pixel’s value is equivalent to the stego pixel. Additionally, Fig. 6 illustrates how both
embedding and extraction processes are performed given the cover image and confidential (secret) data to be concealed, while Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 present the design and the steps for the extraction algorithm.

\[ d'' = z' - y' \]
\[ b = d'' \mod 2 \text{ if } TRT = 0 \]  
\[ \begin{cases} 
  z = z' - \left[ \frac{z'-y'}{2} \right] \text{ if } TRT = 0 \\
  z = z', \text{ otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]

In this way, considering the previous stego pixels, the hidden data and the original pixels can be recovered by applying equations in (22), (23) and (24) as performed from the first scenario till the last one (the sixth scenario) where these scenarios are the reverse of the ones executed during the embedding process. Furthermore, as shown below, the same steps in (25), (26) and (27) are also applied for other pairs (from scenario 2 till 6). Schematic representation for the embedding and extraction steps can be viewed in Fig. 6.

1) **Recovery scenario 1:** First pair of pixel \( \rightarrow (z' = 181, y' = 180) \)

\[ d'' = 181 - 180 = 1 \]  
\[ \rightarrow TRT = 0 \]  
\[ b' = d'' \mod 2 = 1 \mod 2 = 1 \]
2) **Recovery scenario 2: Second pair of pixel** → \((z' = 183, y' = 180)\)

\[
d'' = 183 - 180 = 3 \tag{28}
\]

\[
→ TRT = 0
\]

\[
b' = d'' \mod 2 = 3 \mod 2 = 1 \tag{29}
\]

\[
z = 183 - \left\lfloor \frac{183 - 180}{2} \right\rfloor = 183 - \left\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \right\rfloor = 183 - 2 = 181
\tag{30}
\]
3) Recovery scenario 3: Third pair of pixel \(\rightarrow (z' = 185, y' = 180)\)

\[
d'' = 185 - 180 = 5
\]

\[
\rightarrow TRT = 0
\]

\[
b' = d'' \mod 2 = 5 \mod 2 = 1
\]

\[
z = 185 - \left\lfloor \frac{185-180}{2} \right\rfloor = 183 - \left\lfloor \frac{5}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

\[
= 185 - 3 = 182
\]

4) Recovery scenario 4: Fourth pair of pixel \(\rightarrow (z' = 180, y' = 181)\)

\[
d'' = 180 - 181 = -1
\]

\[
\rightarrow TRT = 0
\]

\[
b' = d'' \mod 2 = \text{abs} (-1) \mod 2 = 1
\]

\[
z = 180 - \left\lfloor \frac{180-181}{2} \right\rfloor = 180 - \left\lfloor \frac{-1}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

\[
= 180 - [-0.5]
\]

\[
= 180 - 0 = 180
\]

5) Recovery scenario 5: Fifth pair of pixel \(\rightarrow (z' = 178, y' = 182)\)

\[
d'' = 178 - 182 = -4
\]

\[
\rightarrow TRT = 0
\]

\[
b' = d'' \mod 2 = \text{abs} (-4) \mod 2 = 0
\]

\[
z = 178 - \left\lfloor \frac{178-182}{2} \right\rfloor = 178 - \left\lfloor \frac{-4}{2} \right\rfloor
\]

\[
= 178 - [-2] = 180
\]

\[
= 178 + 2 = 180
\]

6) Recovery scenario 6: Sixth pair of pixel \(\rightarrow (z' = 101, y' = 104)\)

\[
d'' = 101 - 104 = -3
\]

\[
\rightarrow TRT = 0
\]

\[
b' = d'' \mod 2 = \text{abs} (-3) \mod 2 = 1
\]

\[
z = 101 - \left\lfloor \frac{101-104}{2} \right\rfloor = 101 - \left\lfloor \frac{-3}{2} \right\rfloor
\]
\[ = 101 - [-1.5] = 101 + 1 = 102 \]

The ceiling brackets \([z]\) allow the value of \(z\) to be rounded to the nearest integers greater than or equal to \(z\) while the floor brackets \([z]\) round the value of \(z\) to the nearest integers less than or equal to \(z\). The extracted secret bits in (26), (29), (32), (35), (38) and (41), \(b \rightarrow \{111101\}\) and the values of the recovered pixels in (27), (30), (33), (36), (39) and (42) are exactly the same as the original ones. Therefore, based on the above operations, the reversibility of the proposed method can be easily seen. That is, the hidden secret data and the original cover image can be recovered without any dissimilarities or deformations.

**Algorithm 2: Extractions steps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notation 1: Stego pixel pairs (p' \rightarrow (z', y'))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notation 2: Difference between pair of stego pixel ((z', y') \rightarrow d'')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notation 3: Recovered secret bit (\rightarrow b')</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Input1:** Stego image  
**Input 2:** TRT  
**Output 1:** Original cover image  
**Output 2:** Secret data

1: Start  
2: Load the stego image (STI)  
3: Load the tracing table  
4: Segment STI into blocks of size \(2 \times 1\)  
5: Compute the difference \((d'')\) between \(p'\) by 
   \[ d'' = z' - y' \]
6: Extract the secret bits using the TRT values as follows  
   if \(TRT\) value is 0 \(\rightarrow (TRT = 0)\), recover the hidden secret bit \(\rightarrow b'\) and  
   the original pixel value is by executing steps in 7, 8, and 9 respectively  
7: \(b' = LSB(d'')\)  
8: \(z = z' - \left\lfloor \frac{z' - y'}{2} \right\rfloor\)  
9: Else  
   The Original pixel’s value is equivalent to the stego pixel value.  
   (original pixel = stego pixel and this pair can be identified when the  
   TRT value = 1)  
   \(z = z'\) and \(y = y'\)  
10: End if  
11: Build the original cover image  
12: End the script

Figure 8. Algorithm for extracting the hidden data
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the results from the experiment. The peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) and the embedding capacity are measured in order to assess the performance of the suggested scheme. To compute the PSNR, (43) is used while (44) is used for computing the mean squared error (MSE). In (44), \( CI(i,j) \) is used to indicate the \( i^{th} \) pixel in the cover image \( CI \) whereas \( STI(i',j') \) represents the \( i^{th} \) pixel in the stego image \( STI \).

\[
PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{(255)^2}{MSE} \right)
\]

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{(G \times H)} \sum_{i=1}^{G} \sum_{j=1}^{H} \left[ CI(i,j) - STI(i',j') \right]^2
\]

The PSNR is measured in order to analyze the dissimilarities between the cover image and stego image after concealing data. This makes sense since any drastic distortion that occurs in the stego image may lead to the unauthorized access. Hence, the PSNR value helps to assess the distortion very easily. The embedding capacity is the number of secret bits that can be concealed in the cover image.

The test images used during the evaluation are given in Fig. 9 while Figs. 10 and 11 shows the gap between the capacities for both methods. Overall, the experimental results are presented as follows. Table I presents the total number of possible embeddable pairs which are identified in each cover image based on the values obtained after computing the difference between pixel pairs. It is also worth to mention that these are the pairs that generate difference values which fall into the defined ranges and they are said to be smooth pairs due to their characteristics of preventing changes from being easily noticed after concealing secret data. Furthermore, the capacity in bit per pixel (bpp) which is computed by taking the total number of bits that can be held by the cover image divided by its dimension, and the PSNR values are also provided.

Figure 9. Original test images taken from [58] (a) Elaine.tif (b) Boat.tif (c) Lena.tif (d) Girl.tif (e) Pepper.tif (f) Baboon.tif (g) House.tif (h) Aeroplane.tif (i) Trees.tif
Since images possess different properties such as edges, they also hide different capacities. That is, the number of bits to be concealed highly depends upon the nature of the cover image itself. This implies that for those images having several smooth pairs, the capacity will be high while if they possess few smooth areas, the capacity will not be high. The example can be seen from Table I where cover images like Lena (0.0958 bpp), House (0.1181 bpp) and Aeroplane (0.1378 bpp) are holding a large number of bits compared to the other images. Few smooth pairs are found in Baboon (10208 pairs) which results in low payload capacity (0.0389 bpp). In general, it is shown that the number of smooth pairs is proportional to the number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Images</th>
<th>Total number of smooth pairs</th>
<th>Embedding capacity (bpp)</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>Computational time (second)</th>
<th>Total number of smooth pairs</th>
<th>Embedding Capacity (bpp)</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>Computational time (second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elaine</td>
<td>7637</td>
<td>0.0291</td>
<td>61.994</td>
<td>3.818</td>
<td>17645</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
<td>56.2007</td>
<td>3.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>7271</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
<td>62.0754</td>
<td>3.643</td>
<td>17255</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>56.1899</td>
<td>3.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>10937</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>60.3041</td>
<td>3.607</td>
<td>25124</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>54.5336</td>
<td>3.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>8784</td>
<td>0.0335</td>
<td>61.1104</td>
<td>3.377</td>
<td>21171</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>55.1808</td>
<td>3.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td>4210</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>64.1743</td>
<td>3.905</td>
<td>10208</td>
<td>0.0389</td>
<td>58.2953</td>
<td>3.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>17387</td>
<td>0.0663</td>
<td>58.7591</td>
<td>3.871</td>
<td>30960</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>54.593</td>
<td>3.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeroplane</td>
<td>17883</td>
<td>0.0682</td>
<td>58.3034</td>
<td>3.650</td>
<td>36127</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>53.478</td>
<td>3.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>7659</td>
<td>0.0292</td>
<td>61.8376</td>
<td>3.567</td>
<td>17949</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>56.0315</td>
<td>3.718</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. Payload capacity (in bpp) for Abdullah and Manaf’s method [48] and the proposed one after concealing data

Since images possess different properties such as edges, they also hide different capacities. That is, the number of bits to be concealed highly depends upon the nature of the cover image itself. This implies that for those images having several smooth pairs, the capacity will be high while if they possess few smooth areas, the capacity will not be high. The example can be seen from Table I where cover images like Lena (0.0958 bpp), House (0.1181 bpp) and Aeroplane (0.1378 bpp) are holding a large number of bits compared to the other images. Few smooth pairs are found in Baboon (10208 pairs) which results in low payload capacity (0.0389 bpp). In general, it is shown that the number of smooth pairs is proportional to the number
of bits which can be embedded into the cover image. Furthermore, the PSNR value is slightly decreased compared to the previous method due to the capacity which is significantly increased more than twice for all cover images. However, the PSNR for the proposed method is still good since if the PSNR is greater than 30 dB, the cover image and the stego image similarity is generally high which results in protecting the hidden confidential data from being tampered or accessed by unintended recipients [56].

Fig. 12 is provided to illustrate the example of cover image histogram before and after hiding data. The concept of using histogram to visualize the changes made in the image was discussed in the work presented by Fridrich et al. [57] which reveals that significant changes in the cover image’s histogram can lead to the stego image suspicion which can result in intercepting or interfering the hidden data. Hence, drastic changes in the histogram of the stego image are always undesirable while concealing data in any media. The example of the stego image histogram can be viewed from Fig. 12 where (a) and (b) depict both histograms of Trees.tif cover image before and after concealing the payload capacity of size 0.0684 bpp. If we look at the image histogram in (a) and (b) they are almost similar which makes the proposed method to be highly judged invisible. Moreover, considering the average pixel for both images, cover image pixels’ average = 125.2349 and stego image pixels’ average = 125.2683, they tend to be close to each other which proves their high degree of resemblance. Moreover, as presented in Table I the computational time for these algorithms is also evaluated where the proposed method has a lower average computational time which is 3.642 seconds over 3.679 seconds from Abdullah and Manaf’s method, i.e., the proposed method is 0.037 seconds faster.

Furthermore, Table II and Table III present the comparison between the proposed DE based method and the previous ones in terms of the visual quality of the stego image and computational time. The first comparison is made between Alattar’s work [32] while the second one is made between Ahmad et al.’s method [43]. From both tables it could be seen that the proposed method achieves good PSNR over both methods. Taking into account the computational time, Alattar’s work has the lowest average computational time (2.414 seconds). That is, Alattar’s method [32] is 1.228 faster than the proposed method. Nevertheless, Ahmad et al.’s method [43] average computational time (4.3184 seconds) is higher than the one from the proposed method (3.642) which makes it to be 0.6764 seconds slower than the proposed method. It is crucial to mention that the computational time also depends on the nature of the image and that is why different execution times are obtained for all cover images. From Table I after applying the proposed method the highest execution time (3.738) is obtained while concealing confidential data (0.0673 bpp) in Elaine.tif cover image whereas the lowest execution time (3.122 seconds) is achieved in Boat.tif cover image after concealing the payload capacity of size 0.0658 bpp. The results presented in Table II and Table III are visualized in Fig. 13 and Fig 14. Consequently, regarding the number of embeddable pairs not all values are falling in the defined ranges after computing the difference in each block which can results in slightly decreasing the embedding capacity in case several pairs are not utilized for concealing confidential data. In general, the proposed approach can be highly applicable in situations where small or medium embedding capacity is required.

V. CONCLUSION

Several information hiding methods that protect confidential data by concealing them into the other multimedia objects have been developed in the recent years. The embedding capacity and the similarity between the cover image and its respective stego image are among the major concerns to be highly considered while developing information hiding method. Besides, difference expansion is one the most popular reversible data hiding schemes due to its ability of reversibly recovering the hidden data and the original cover image without degradations. This advantage provided by DE-based schemes is often exploited in situations such as satellite and medical images to provide authentication, user privacy, content and copyright protection where the similar cover image must be reconstructed after extracting the hidden confidential data. Thereby, to also exploit this advantage, an improvement of the existing DE-based scheme
is presented in this paper, i.e., an improved reversible data hiding scheme based on difference expansion and modulus function that allows the secret data to be concealed into digital images is developed. Confidential data are concealed into the difference computed between pixel pairs in each block. Furthermore, two ranges are defined to determine the appropriate embeddable pixel pairs (also known as smooth pairs) which achieves good embedding capacity. The tracing table defined during the embedding and the modulus function are used to extract the hidden data and to reconstruct the original cover image.

Figure 11. Maximum number of smooth pairs per image using Abdullah and Manaf [48] and the proposed method

Figure 12 Trees cover image.tif (a) Histogram before hiding data with image pixels’ average = 125.2349 (b) Histogram after hiding payload capacity of size 0.0684 bpp, with image pixel average = 125.2683 and PSNR = 56.0316 decibels (dB).

is presented in this paper, i.e., an improved reversible data hiding scheme based on difference expansion and modulus function that allows the secret data to be concealed into digital images is developed. Confidential data are concealed into the difference computed between pixel pairs in each block. Furthermore, two ranges are defined to determine the appropriate embeddable pixel pairs (also known as smooth pairs) which achieves good embedding capacity. The tracing table defined during the embedding and the modulus function are used to extract the hidden data and to reconstruct the original cover image.
Factors such as computational time are also taken into account during the performance evaluation. The proposed DE provides a high capacity over the previous DE-based method while maintaining a high similarity between the cover and the stego image which thoroughly demonstrates its performance. However,

### TABLE II. COMPARISON BETWEEN ALATTAR [32] AND THE PROPOSED METHOD IN TERMS OF QUALITY OF THE STEGO IMAGE (PSNR) AND COMPUTATIONAL TIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Images</th>
<th>Capacity in bit per pixel (bpp)</th>
<th>Alattar [32]</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>Computational time (second)</th>
<th>Capacity in bit per pixel (bpp)</th>
<th>Proposed Method</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>Computational time (second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elaine</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
<td>53.6051</td>
<td>2.226</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
<td>56.2007</td>
<td>3.738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>53.8217</td>
<td>2.169</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>56.1899</td>
<td>3.122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>49.6814</td>
<td>2.421</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>54.5336</td>
<td>3.721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>51.8896</td>
<td>2.427</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>55.1808</td>
<td>3.622</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>53.9278</td>
<td>2.701</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>54.593</td>
<td>3.656</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeroplane</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>47.8339</td>
<td>2.767</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>53.478</td>
<td>3.839</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>54.4153</td>
<td>2.189</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>56.0315</td>
<td>3.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE III. COMPARISON BETWEEN AHMAD ET AL. [43] AND THE PROPOSED METHOD IN TERMS OF QUALITY OF THE STEGO IMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Images</th>
<th>Capacity in bit per pixel (bpp)</th>
<th>Ahmad et al. [43]</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>Computational time (second)</th>
<th>Capacity in bit per pixel (bpp)</th>
<th>Proposed Method</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>Computational time (second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elaine</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
<td>45.3769</td>
<td>7.006</td>
<td>0.0673</td>
<td>56.2007</td>
<td>3.738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boat</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>45.6966</td>
<td>3.760</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
<td>56.1899</td>
<td>3.122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>46.7301</td>
<td>4.890</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>54.5336</td>
<td>3.721</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>41.1211</td>
<td>3.927</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>55.1808</td>
<td>3.622</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>41.5015</td>
<td>3.813</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>54.593</td>
<td>3.656</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeroplane</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>43.2581</td>
<td>3.587</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>53.478</td>
<td>3.839</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>40.6923</td>
<td>3.246</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>56.0315</td>
<td>3.718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13 Comparison in terms of PSNR variation between Alattar’s method [32] and the proposed one
as presented in experimental results’ discussion, further improvements on the embedding capacity and execution time are still needed in the future work.
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